

In re Patent Application of:
WILLIAM E. LAWTON et al.
Serial No. 10/669,097
Filing Date: **September 23, 2003**

Remarks

Applicant and the undersigned would like to thank the Examiner for his efforts and guidance provided in the examination of this application. Claims 1-10 as originally filed remain in the case.

The examiner objected to the specification as originally filed and presented a preferred layout. A close examination of the specification as filed will show that the preferred outline was used. However, in the interest of clarity and currently preferred paragraph numbering, a replacement specification is herein submitted. Also, only those applicable sections of the specification are included as guided by 37 CFR §1.77(c). No new matter is added by this amendment.

The title has been modified to read "METHOD FOR SETTING AN ENCRYPTION KEY FOR LOGICAL NETWORK SEPARATION". As requested by the examiner, the description of SetNEK is further clarified. No new mater is added by these amendments. While the Examiner objects to the use of the acronyms DEK and NEK in the claims, DEK and NEK have clear support in the specification. The Applicants and the undersigned are reluctant to amend the claims until it is clear to the Examiner that the claims in the case clearly distinguish over the known and cited prior art.

The Examiner rejects claims 1-10 under 35 USC §102(e) as being anticipated by US Patent No. 6,987,770 to Yonge, III. Respectfully, the Applicant and the undersigned traverse the rejection under 35 USC §102(b) as being improper in that the cited

In re Patent Application of:
WILLIAM E. LAWTON et al.
Serial No. 10/669,097
Filing Date: **September 23, 2003**

reference fails to contain every element and limitation recited in the claims. As supported by MPEP §2131, a claim is anticipated only if each and every element as set forth in the claim is found, either expressly or inherently described in a single prior art reference. Contrary to the Examiner's assertions, the claimed invention is not anticipated by Yonge '770 and the rejection under 35 USC §102(b) should be withdrawn.

By way of example, and with reference to Par 17 of the replacement specification, the procedure outlined by Yonge '770 generally follows that which has been outlined in the specification as originally filed and identified as "Procedure A." The procedure outlined in Yonge '770 for remotely setting the NEK of a new device does not teach nor does it suggest determining the MAC address of the a device, or a remote device as called for in independent claim 1 as originally presented. Such a distinguishing feature is accomplished by the claimed invention. Without knowledge of the MAC address, the controlling station cannot resolve whether the NEK is set properly for the new device, particularly since the transmission of a ConfirmNEK is optional.

The invention disclosed describes a method through which the controlling station does reliably determine the unique MAC address of the new device. This is accomplished by use of a temporary NEK, also called for in independent claim 1. As supported in the specification (Par 26 by way of example), since the controlling station

In re Patent Application of:
WILLIAM E. LAWTON et al.
Serial No. 10/669,097
Filing Date: September 23, 2003

sends a Stats Request with the temporary NEK, only the 'new device' will respond, and hence its MAC address will be known. Dependent claims 2-9 add further features and limitations and they too are felt to distinguish over the cited reference.

Applicants respectfully submit that the above amendments place this application in a condition for allowance, and passage to issue is respectfully solicited. The Applicants and the undersigned would like to again thank the Examiner for his efforts and guidance provided in the examination of this application. If the further prosecution of the application can be facilitated through telephone interview between the Examiner and the undersigned, the Examiner is requested to telephone the undersigned at the Examiner's convenience.

Respectfully submitted,



Carl M. Napolitano, Ph.D.
Reg. No. 37,405
ALLEN, DYER, DÖPPELT, MILBRATH & GILCHRIST, P.A.
255 South Orange Avenue, Suite 1401
P.O. Box 3791
Orlando, Florida 32802
(407) 841-2330
Agent for Applicant

ROBUST SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR
REMOTE SETTING OF AN ENCRYPTION KEY
FOR LOGICAL NETWORK SEPARATION
METHOD FOR SETTING AN ENCRYPTION KEY FOR LOGICAL NETWORK
SEPARATION

PRIORITYCROSS REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

[0001]This application claims priority from the benefit of U.S. Provisional Application, Serial No. 60/413,388, filed September 25, 2002, the disclosure of which is hereby incorporated by reference herein in its entirety all commonly owned.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

[0002]The invention relates to systems and methods for grouping communications nodes into sub-networks in general, and particularly to altering the logical structure of a network by moving any given node reliably from one sub-network to another. The invention is applicable to the field of power line networking, and particularly to the specifications set out in the HomePlug 1.0.1 Specification of the HomePlug Power Alliance, incorporated here by reference.

Description of Related Art BACKGROUND

[0003]The technique of home networking over residential power lines has received considerable attention in recent years. The HomePlug Powerline Alliance, for example, has established a high-speed networking standard, and to date several manufacturers

are producing and marketing power-line communication devices (PLC devices) for the consumer market that are interoperable under the HomePlug standard.

[0004] To achieve interoperability, a part of the HomePlug Specification outlines a network protocol to which all makers of HomePlug compliant devices must adhere. The network protocol is designed to allow multiple devices to share access to the same physical medium, and to facilitate, as much as possible, communication between the devices. It will be helpful to introduce certain concepts and terminology from the HomePlug protocol before describing the details of this invention.

[0005] Terminology: The following selectively introduces some terminology in accordance with HomePlug Specification 1.0.1, as well as pending U.S. Patent Application No. 09/632,310, which is incorporated by reference.

[0006] **Logical Networks:** In some cases it is desirable to logically separate multiple groups of PLC devices that all share access to the same underlying physical medium (i.e., the same residential power line network). The "logical separation" implies that PLC devices of one group can not necessarily communicate with PLC devices of a different group. Such groups of logically separated PLC devices or network nodes are referred to as "Logical Networks".

[0007] The concept of Logical Networks is important, for instance, in the case of two neighboring apartments that share the same infrastructure of electrical wiring. Suppose that the families in each apartment establish a network of PLC devices. Without the concept of Logical Networks, PLC devices in one apartment could intercept messages

from PLC devices in the neighboring apartment, since they share the same physical medium.

[0008]Network Encryption Key (NEK): A Logical Network is established under the HomePlug protocol by means of a Network Encryption Key. All PLC devices in a given Logical Network may encrypt/decrypt messages using the same Network Encryption Key. This key is known only to devices within the logical network, and thus provides security from intruders.

[0009]Device Encryption Key (DEK): Each PLC device under the HomePlug Specification has its unique device encryption key. Similar to the Network Encryption Key, a device encryption key may be used to encrypt/decrypt messages such that only other PLC devices with knowledge of the same DEK can communicate with the present device.

[0010]MAC Management Entry (MME): The HomePlug Specifications provides for the use of a number of network management commands, so that a network can properly be established and managed, by the participating PLC devices. The acronym MAC stands for Medium Access Control. Each PLC device is prescribed to have a defined behavior in response to certain MAC Management Entries (MMEs). Some MMEs that are of interest in context with the present invention are:

.....*SetNEK:* When a HomePlug PLC device receives a *SetNEK* MME, its defined behavior is to set its Network Encryption Key to ~~that~~ a key found in the MME. If the NEK was successfully set, the PLC device then proceeds to transmit a *ConfirmNEK*

MME to notify the requesting unit of the success of the procedure.

.....*ConfirmNEK*: The *ConfirmNEK* MME is sent in response to a *SetNEK*, if setting the Network Encryption Key was indeed done successfully at the node that received the *SetNEK* request.

.....*StatsRequest*: A request for some network statistics and parameters. The receiver of such a request is directed to return a *StatsResponse* MME with the said network statistics and parameters.

.....*StatsResponse*: The *StatsResponse* MME is sent in response to the *StatsRequest* MME.

[0011]Controlling Station: In the context of this filing the term controlling station refers to a particular PLC device in the network, that has access to all necessary information to issue MAC management entries as required.

[0012]MAC Address: A MAC address is a unique identifier for each PLC device that participates in a given network. Knowledge of a node's MAC address enables any other node in the same logical network to direct a message directly to the node of said address.

[0013]Universal Broadcast: A message with the destination address field set such that any listening node may receive the message. Note, that a broadcast message may

be encrypted however, so that only nodes with access to the proper decryption key may decypher the message.

[0014]Unicast: In contrast to a Universal Broadcast, a Unicast is a message with the destination address field set to the MAC address of a unique node.

[0015]Remote Setting of Network Encryption Key: Provisions have been made in the HomePlug Specification particularly for the setting of the Network Encryption Key. A simple procedure to achieve such a remote setting will now be described as with reference to Fig. 1, an illustrative example.

[0016]As shown in Fig. 1, a network is given that consists of two logical networks, Logical Network 1 and Logical Network 2, a controlling station, and participant PLC devices in the network (not shown). To move Node A from Logical Network 1 to Logical Network 2, the following procedure may be applied in accordance to the HomePlug protocol. This procedure is referred to as Procedure A.

[0017]Procedure A

1. The controlling station prepares a *SetNEK* MME (with the NEK to be set to the NEK of Logical Network 2) as a universal broadcast message and the frame is encrypted with the Device Encryption Key of Node A and transmitted.
2. Node A receives the *SetNEK* MME of step 1, sets its own NEK to the one obtained in the MME of Step 1, and replies with a *ConfirmNEK* MME. Note that other nodes in the network will not receive the *SetNEK* MME,

due to the fact that they do not have the proper device encryption key to decode the message.

3. Upon reception of the *ConfirmNEK* MME, the controlling station updates network information as required, and the procedure is finished.

[0018] Given the proper execution of all steps, Procedure A presents an efficient method to achieve the remote setting of the network encryption key for a PLC device. The problem with Procedure A is that the transmission of a *ConfirmNEK* is defined as a host function in the HomePlug 1.0.1 Specification (the host is the device that is connected to the network through a PLC device, for example, if a personal computer is connected to a network via a PLC device, the personal computer is considered the host device). Host devices are not subject to the HomePlug 1.0.1 specification, therefore, it is not certain that they are all correctly implemented to execute *ConfirmNEK* requests. Without the ability to properly execute a *ConfirmNEK* request, Procedure A becomes unreliable, and Logical Networks cannot be established as desired.

[0019] This is further illustrated by the following exemplary application of Procedure A. Referring to Fig. 2, consider Logical Network 1 to be a collection of PLC device in Apartment 1, and Logical Network 2 to be a collection of PLC devices in Apartment 2, which shares the residential power line network with Apartment 1. A new PLC device is to be added to Logical Network 1. According to the HomePlug Specification new devices will have a default Network Encryption Key, thus when the new PLC device is first connected to the residential power line it is the sole member of a third Logical Network, labeled Logical Network 0 in Fig. 2. To integrate the new device into the

existing Logical Network structure it must obtain the Network Encryption Key for Logical Network 1. Suppose a controlling station in Logical Network 1 initiates Procedure A. Suppose Step 1 executes, but Step 2 does not. Then, other devices in Logical Network 1 may not communicate with the new device using the Network Encryption Key of Logical Network 1, and the network remains non-secure.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

[0020] The present invention provides a system method for altering the Logical Network structure of a network of PLC devices that are compliant with the HomePlug Specification. In particular, the invention includes a procedure for remotely setting the Network Encryption Key of a PLC device. It is the Network Encryption Key of a device that determines its membership in a logical network.

[0021] Previous methods exist to achieve the remote setting of a Network Encryption Key, however, they are prone to error, because they rely on a particular exchange of a *SetNEK* and *ConfirmNEK* request, that cannot be guaranteed by any configuration of PLC and host devices. When the exchange of *SetNEK* and *ConfirmNEK* fails, the desired Logical Network Structure is not achieved.

[0022] The present invention removes the reliance of the procedure on the exchange of a *SetNEK* and *ConfirmNeK* request. This makes the method described by the present invention more robust and more practical for real PLC devices.

THE DRAWING BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

[0023] Figs. 1 and 2 are diagrams illustrating logical network configurations applicable to the present invention.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

[0024] A better understanding of the present invention can be obtained when the following detailed description of the preferred embodiment is considered in conjunction with the following drawing, in which

[0025] Fig. 1 is a diagram depicting an exemplary network configuration, including two Logical Networks that reside on the same residential power line.

The preferred embodiment of the invention is given by a description of Procedure B. Referring to Fig. 1, Procedure B describes the necessary steps to move Node A from Logical Network 1 to Logical Network 2. It should be noted that Procedure B can be equally well applied to the integration of a new node into the existing structure of Logical Networks, as illustrated in Fig. 2.

[0026] Procedure B

1. The controlling station prepares a *SetNEK* MME as a universal broadcast message and the frame is encrypted with the Device Encryption Key of Node A and transmitted. The value of the NEK in the MME is set to refer to a temporary Logical Network, that is used solely in the context of the present procedure.

It is required that the value of the NEK for the temporary Logical Network does not equal the recommended default NEK as defined in the HomePlug Specification. Preferably the value of the NEK is selected randomly from the set of all possible NEK values, except the recommended default NEK defined in the HomePlug Specification.

2. The controlling station prepares another MME for universal broadcast which is encrypted with the NEK for the temporary Logical Network as determined in Step 1. For example, the controlling station may transmit a *StatsRequest* MME.
3. The *StatsRequest* MME is received by Node A, which at this time is logically placed in the temporary Logical Network. Node A responds to the *StatsRequest* MME by preparing and transmitting a *StatsResponse* MME.
4. The controlling station receives and processes the *StatsResponse* MME. Since there is only one member in the temporary Logical Network (besides the controlling station itself), there is only one *StatsResponse*. From the *StatsResponse* MME, the controlling station extracts the MAC address of Node A.
5. The controlling station prepares a *SetNEK* MME as a direct message (unicast) for Node A. The message uses the MAC address of Node A retrieved in Step 4, and is encrypted with the Device Encryption Key of Node A. The value of the NEK in the MME is set to refer to Logical Network 2.

6. The controlling station prepares a *StatsRequest* MME encrypted with the NEK corresponding to Logical Network 2, and addressed directly (unicast) to Node A, via the known MAC address of Node A.
7. The *StatsRequest* MME is received by Node A, which at this time is logically placed in Logical Network 2. Node A responds to the *StatsRequest* MME by preparing and transmitting a *StatsResponse* MME.
8. The controlling station receives and processes the *StatsResponse* MME.

It is thus confirmed that Node A is correctly placed in Logical Network 2.

[0027] Procedure B above makes exemplary use of the interchange of *StatsRequest* and *StatsResponse* MME, between the controlling station and Node A. The exact nature of the MME pair is not crucial, the main requirement is that transmission of the first MME, invokes a response MME from the recipient. The actual purpose of exchanging MME pairs is as follows. The exchange that is encompassed in Steps 2, 3, and 4 takes place to convey to the controlling station the MAC address of Node A. The exchange that is encompassed in Steps 6, 7, and 8 takes place to convey to the controlling station that Node A has successfully set its NEK to the NEK corresponding to Logical Network 2.

WHAT IS CLAIMED IS

1. A method for determining MAC address for a remote device having a known, unique DEK in a network where devices may not send a confirmation to a *SetNEK* request, the method comprising the steps of:

preparing a broadcast message with a *SetNEK* request containing a unique, temporary *NEK*;

encrypting the message with the DEK of the remote device;

transmitting the broadcast message on a network medium;

confirming receipt of the temporary *NEK* by sending a request that requires a response from the remote device which is encrypted with the temporary *NEK*; and

determining the MAC address of the remote device from the response.

2. The method of Claim 1 wherein the remote device is not a member of a network.

3. The method of Claim 2 further comprising the step of using the MAC address of the remote device in a unicast transmission to reliably confirm receipt of the temporary *NEK*.

4. The method of Claim 3 further comprising the step of using the MAC address of the remote device in a unicast transmission containing a *SetNEK* message with the local *NEK*.

5. The method of Claim 4 further comprising the step of using the MAC address of the remote device in an additional unicast transmission which is encrypted with the local *NEK*, for purposes of confirming receipt of the local *NEK*.

6. The method of Claim 2 further comprising the steps of:
using the determined MAC address to reliably send the local *NEK*;
preparing a unicast message to the remote device containing a *SetNEK* request where the *SetNEK* request contains a unique, temporary *NEK*;
encrypting the unicast message with the DEK of the remote device;
transmitting the unicast message on the medium; and
confirming receipt of the temporary *NEK* by sending a request that requires a response which is encrypted with the temporary *NEK*.

7. The method recited in Claim 1, wherein the network is a powerline network.

8. The method recited in Claim 1, wherein the remote devices are implemented according to the HomePlug Powerline Alliance standard.

9. The method of Claim 1 wherein the request is a request statistics MME and the response is a statistic response MME.

10. The method of Claim 1 wherein the request is a request channel estimation MME and the response is a channel estimation response.

ABSTRACT

A system and method is described for reliably transferring a node in a communications network from one Logical Network to another Logical Network. The system and method is reliable and robust with respect to potentially varying implementations of host devices in the communications network, and is compatible with other network management operations as specified in HomePlug Specifications.